

**2,274 ha under management
(70 funded sites)**

2,274 ha under management (70 funded sites)

**~ 15% of native vegetation on
private property**

2,274 ha under management (70 funded sites)

~ 15% of native vegetation on private property

**\$58 /ha/year average cost of
successful bids**

(most for 10 years)

2,274 ha under management (70 funded sites)
~ 15% of native vegetation on private property
\$58 /ha/year average cost of successful bids

**9 new Heritage Agreement
applications**

2,274 ha under management (70 funded sites)

~ 15% of native vegetation on private property

\$58 /ha/year average cost of successful bids

9 new Heritage Agreement applications

**408 ha of threatened plant
communities**

2,274 ha under management (70 funded sites)

~ 15% of native vegetation on private property

\$58 /ha/year average cost of successful bids

9 new Heritage Agreement applications

408 ha of threatened plant communities

**Habitat protected for 27 threatened
species**

2,274 ha under management (70 funded sites)

~ 15% of native vegetation on private property

\$58 /ha/year average cost of successful bids

9 new Heritage Agreement applications

408 ha of threatened plant communities

Habitat protected for 27 threatened species

High onground : overhead \$ - 7:2

Landscape
Values



Site Scale
Values



Significance
Values



Management &
Improvement

**ACTION
LIST**

+

X

X



Value for Money

VALUE FOR MONEY = Biodiversity Gain / \$

WHY DID IT WORK?

Adaptive management approach:

- 2 rounds; set up to learn from the first

Business approach:

- project and team management; excellent people

Managed process for landholder:

- project developed everything except the price

Support from existing projects:

- recruitment

WHAT LIMITS?

Price setting is difficult:

- many landholders have little knowledge of management pricing

Underdeveloped support services market:

- few service providers of quality & readiness

Most efficient with targeted large investment:

- Unwillingness to give up old incentive schemes

WHAT NEXT?

BushBids could be run anywhere in SA:

- Built and built on existing methods, database & capacity

Underlying work now in other projects:

- River Murray Forest; 5 interstate projects with NGOs

Evaluation of biodiversity gain:

- Set up Before-After-Control-Impact evaluation for 4 years time - 90% chance of detecting 8% change.
- Will be able to evaluate benefit per management \$

**2,274 ha under management
(70 funded sites)**

2,274 ha under management (70 funded sites)

**~ 15% of native vegetation on
private property**

2,274 ha under management (70 funded sites)

~ 15% of native vegetation on private property

**\$58 /ha/year average cost of
successful bids**

(most for 10 years)

2,274 ha under management (70 funded sites)
~ 15% of native vegetation on private property
\$58 /ha/year average cost of successful bids

**9 new Heritage Agreement
applications**

2,274 ha under management (70 funded sites)

~ 15% of native vegetation on private property

\$58 /ha/year average cost of successful bids

9 new Heritage Agreement applications

**408 ha of threatened plant
communities**

2,274 ha under management (70 funded sites)

~ 15% of native vegetation on private property

\$58 /ha/year average cost of successful bids

9 new Heritage Agreement applications

408 ha of threatened plant communities

**Habitat protected for 27 threatened
species**

Bushland Condition Indicator

Condition Rating

Plant Species Diversity

Poor

Weed Abundance and Threat

Very Poor

Structural Diversity A - Ground Cover

Excellent

Structural Diversity B- Plant Life Forms

Poor

Regeneration of Native Trees*

Moderate

Tree Health- Dieback*

Good

Tree Health- Lerp Damage*#

Excellent

Tree Health- Mistletoe*#

Excellent

Tree Habitat*

Poor

Fallen Logs and Trees*

Moderate

Total Grazing Pressure#

Good